

MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS

AS A NULL COMPARISON

**WITH APPLICATIONS TO CLASSICAL,
FUNCTIONAL AND TRANSFORMATIONAL
DATA ANALYSIS**

Andreas Buja

The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

Joint work with Deborah Donnell and Werner Stuetzle
(Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research, University of Washington)

What is Classical Multivariate Analysis?

Examples: (for random variables instead of data)

- **PCA:** Principal Component Analysis

$$\mathbf{Var}\left(\sum_i a_i X_i\right) = \max$$

subject to

$$\sum a_i^2 = 1$$

- **CCA:** Canonical Correlation Analysis

$$\mathbf{Cov}\left(\sum_i a_i X_i, \sum_j b_j Y_j\right) = \max$$

subject to

$$\mathbf{Var}\left(\sum_i a_i X_i\right) = \mathbf{Var}\left(\sum_j b_j Y_j\right) = 1$$

- **LDA:** Linear Discriminant Analysis

For dimension reduction: LDA = CCA, where ...

... Y_j are dummy variables of a partition.

- **GCA:** Generalized Canonical Analysis

= CCA with ...

... more than two variable blocks.

What is PCA?

$$\mathbf{Var}\left(\sum_i a_i X_i\right) = \max \quad \text{subject to} \quad \sum a_i^2 = 1$$

Question: **Why** $\sum a_i^2 = 1$?

- Yes, we need a constraint, but why this one?
- Most common version of PCA:
correlation-based, not covariance-based
 \Rightarrow constraint $\sum a_i^2 \mathbf{Var}(X_i) = 1$
- When do we use cov-, when corr-based PCA?
- “Dirty little secret of machine learning:”
How do we choose reference metrics?
(Tom Dietterich, U. of Oregon)

Goal: An answer for multivariate analysis

Reference Metrics for PCA:

- **Idea:** Derive the reference metric from the criterion by evaluating the criterion under a **null hypothesis**.
- PCA should be driven by correlations.
⇒ Null hypothesis = “no correlations”

$$H_0 : \mathbf{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j)$$

What is the criterion under the null hypothesis?

$$\mathbf{Var}\left(\sum a_j X_j\right) = \sum a_j^2 \mathbf{Var}(X_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Constraint: } \sum_j a_j^2 \mathbf{Var}(X_j) = 1.$$

⇒ Correlation-based PCA

- Add equal variances to the null hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \dots \text{ and } \mathbf{Var}(X_i) = V \quad (\forall i)$$

The criterion under the null hypothesis:

$$\mathbf{Var}\left(\sum_j a_j X_j\right) = \sum_j a_j^2 V$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Constraint: } \sum_j a_j^2 = 1/V.$$

\Rightarrow Covariance-based PCA

- Difference:
 - Correlation-based PCA responds only to correlations.
 - Covariance-based PCA responds also to non-constant variances.

Example: $\mathbf{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0$,

$$\mathbf{Var}(X_1) = 10, \quad \mathbf{Var}(X_2) = \dots = \mathbf{Var}(X_p) = 1$$

When do you want which? ...

What is CCA?

- Usual definition:

$$\mathbf{Corr}(\sum a_i X_i, \sum b_j Y_j) = \max$$

- Equivalent:

$$\mathbf{Cov}(\sum a_i X_i, \sum b_j Y_j) = \max$$

subject to $\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) = \mathbf{Var}(\sum b_j Y_j) = 1$.

- Equivalent:

$$\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i \pm \sum b_j Y_j) = \max / \min$$

subject to $\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum b_j Y_j) = 2$.

CCA as a Null Comparison:

- Criterion:

$$\mathbf{Var}\left(\sum a_i X_i \pm \sum b_j Y_j\right) = \max / \min$$

- Null hypothesis of CCA:

$$\mathbf{Cov}(X_i, Y_j) = 0 \quad (\forall i, j)$$

(The two variable blocks are uncorrelated between but not within.)

- Constraint or “reference metric”
= criterion evaluated under the null hypothesis:

$$\mathbf{Var}\left(\sum a_i X_i \pm \sum b_j Y_j\right) = \mathbf{Var}\left(\sum a_i X_i\right) + \mathbf{Var}\left(\sum b_j Y_j\right)$$

GCA as a Null Comparison:

- Three or more blocks of variables: X_i, Y_j, Z_k, \dots

- Criterion:

$$\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i + \sum b_j Y_j + \sum c_k Z_k + \dots)$$

- Null hypothesis:

Blocks are uncorrelated between, but not within.

$$\mathbf{Cov}(X_i, Y_j) = \mathbf{Cov}(X_i, Z_k) = \mathbf{Cov}(Y_j, Z_k) = 0$$

- Evaluation of criterion under the null hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i + \sum b_j Y_j + \sum c_k Z_k) \\ &= \mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum b_j Y_j) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum c_k Z_k) \\ &= \text{reference metric} \end{aligned}$$

- Uses: Multiple correspondence analysis

X_i = dummy variables of partition 1

Y_j = dummy variables of partition 2

Z_k = dummy variables of partition 3

$\sum a_i X_i$ = quantification of categories of partition 1

...

Summary of Classical MA Methods:

Rayleigh quotient of ...

- **PCA** (corr-based):

$$\frac{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i)}{\sum a_i^2 \mathbf{Var}(X_i)}$$

- **PCA** (cov-based):

$$\frac{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i)}{\sum a_i^2 V}$$

- **CCA**:

$$\frac{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i + \sum b_j Y_j)}{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum b_j Y_j)}$$

- **GCA**:

$$\frac{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i + \sum b_j Y_j + \sum c_k Z_k)}{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum b_j Y_j) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum c_k Z_k)}$$

Extensions of Classical MA:

- **CCA with equal covariance in the two blocks:**

Assume correspondence $X_1 \sim Y_1, \dots, X_p \sim Y_p$.

$$H_0: \mathbf{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \mathbf{Cov}(Y_i, Y_j)$$

in addition to $\mathbf{Cov}(X_i, Y_j) = 0$.

$$\text{Rayleigh} = \frac{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i + \sum b_i Y_i)}{\left(\begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i Y_i))/2 \\ + (\mathbf{Var}(\sum b_i Y_i) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum b_i X_i))/2 \end{array} \right)}$$

- **PCA with $H_0 =$ exchangeable covariance:**

$$H_0: \mathbf{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = C \quad (\forall i \neq j)$$

in addition to $\mathbf{Var}(X_i) = V \quad (\forall i)$.

$$\text{Rayleigh} = \frac{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i)}{\sum_i a_i^2 V + \sum_{i \neq j} a_i a_j C}$$

where $V = \mathbf{ave}(\mathbf{Var}(X_i)|i)$

and $C = \mathbf{ave}(\mathbf{Cov}(X_i, X_j)|i \neq j)$.

Further Extensions:

- “Chaining Variance” for Tri-Partite Graphs:

Criterion:

$$\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i - \sum b_j Y_j) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum b_j Y_j - \sum c_k Z_k)$$

H_0 : Three blocks uncorrelated between, not within.

Reference Metric:

$$\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) + 2\mathbf{Var}(\sum b_j Y_j) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum c_k Z_k)$$

Rayleigh = Criterion / Reference Metric

- **Diagnostics for Any Covariance Model**

H_0 : Structural equation, Lisrel, graphical ... model

\mathbf{C} = unconstrained covariance matrix ($\mathbf{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$)

\mathbf{C}_0 = covariance matrix fitted under H_0

$$\text{Rayleigh} = \frac{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{a}}$$

Functional Multivariate Analysis:

- “Functional”: Indexes have topological structure.
 - Example 1: (X_1, \dots, X_p) = time series
 X_i = observation at the i 'th time point
 - Example 1: (X_1, \dots, X_p) = spatial random field
 X_i = observation at the i 'th location
- Requirement: i and j “near” $\Rightarrow |a_i - a_j|$ small.
- Quadratic penalization:
 - Example 1: (X_1, \dots, X_p) = time series
 \Rightarrow Naive penalty = $\sum_{i=2, \dots, p} (a_i - a_{i-1})^2$
 - General: Penalty = $\mathbf{a}^T \Omega \mathbf{a}$,
 - Ω is $p \times p$ non-negative definite
 - Principled: smoothing splines, RKHS
- **Recipe** for penalization approaches to functional MA:
 - Add quadratic penalties to variance criteria
 - and derive reference metrics from H_0 .

Functional PCA:

- Examples: Multiple time series, multiple random fields aligned on same grid

- Criterion: $\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) + \mathbf{a}^T \Omega \mathbf{a}$

- H_0 : $\mathbf{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0$ ($i \neq j$), $\mathbf{Var}(X_i) = V$

- Reference metric: $\sum a_i^2 V + \mathbf{a}^T \Omega \mathbf{a}$

- Rayleigh:

$$\frac{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) + \mathbf{a}^T \Omega \mathbf{a}}{\sum a_i^2 V + \mathbf{a}^T \Omega \mathbf{a}}$$

- Rice & Silverman (1991):

$$\frac{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) - \mathbf{a}^T \Omega \mathbf{a}}{\sum a_i^2}$$

- Silverman (1996):

$$\frac{\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i)}{\sum a_i^2 + \mathbf{a}^T \Omega \mathbf{a}}$$

Functional CCA:

- Assume both X_1, \dots, X_p and Y_1, \dots, Y_q functional.
- Two penalties: $\mathbf{a}^T \Omega_X \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}^T \Omega_Y \mathbf{b}$
- Criterion:

$$\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i - \sum b_j Y_j) + \mathbf{a}^T \Omega_X \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}^T \Omega_Y \mathbf{b}$$

- Reference metric:

$$\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum b_j Y_j) + \mathbf{a}^T \Omega_X \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}^T \Omega_Y \mathbf{b}$$

- Rayleigh is equivalent to pen. can. corr.:

$$\frac{\mathbf{Cov}(\sum a_i X_i, \sum b_j Y_j)}{[(\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i X_i) + \mathbf{a}^T \Omega_X \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{Var}(\sum b_j Y_j) + \mathbf{b}^T \Omega_Y \mathbf{b})]^{1/2}}$$

- CCA of Leurgans, Moyeed and Silverman (1993),
Pen. LDA of Hastie, Buja, Tibshirani (1995)
- CCA needs penalization much more than PCA
because of collinearity within blocks
due to functional nature of the data.

Outlook on Transformational Data Analysis:

- Want to marginally transform variables: $f_i(X_i)$, before subjecting them to MA.
- PCA: criterion = $\mathbf{Var}(\sum a_i f_i(X_i))$
(absorb a_i in f_i)
 \Rightarrow criterion = $\mathbf{Var}(\sum f_i(X_i))$
- H_o : X_1, \dots, X_p are pairwise independent.
- Reference metric: $\sum \mathbf{Var}(f_i(X_i))$
- Underlying: infinite dimensional GCA
Dauxois & Pousse (1976)
Closed subspaces V_1, \dots, V_p in a Hilbert space
Find $v_i \in V_i$ such that $\|\sum v_i\|^2 / \sum \|v_i\|^2 = \max$
Reference metric: assume V_i are orthogonal.
- Donnell, Buja, Stuetzle (1994):
Estimate implicit equations $\sum f_i(X_i) \approx 0$

Transformational CCA:

- Breiman & Friedman's ACE "regression":

$$\text{Criterion} = \mathbf{Var}(g(Y) - \sum f_i(X_i))$$

- H_0 : Y is independent of (X_1, \dots, X_p)
- Reference metric: $\mathbf{Var}(g(Y)) + \mathbf{Var}(\sum f_i(X_i))$

- CCA form of ACE:

$$\mathbf{Corr}(g(Y), \sum f_i(X_i)) = \max$$

- "Regression" form of ACE:

$$1 - R^2 = \frac{\mathbf{Var}(g(Y) - \sum f_i(X_i))}{\mathbf{Var}(g(Y))}$$

Note: Transformational MA can be easily done with spline transformations using spline penalties.

Criterion for ACE:

$$\mathbf{Var}(g(Y) - \sum f_i(X_i)) + J(g) + \sum J_i(f_i)$$

Conclusions:

- All of classical MA has variance as the criterion.
- The types of MA differ in the reference metric, not the criterion.
- Functional MA can be performed by adding quadratic penalties to the variance.
- Transformational MA can be performed using spaces of transformations instead of spaces of linear combinations of variables.
- Penalized transformational MA can be performed by adding spline penalties to the variance criterion.