

## Hoeffding, Chernoff, Bennet, and Bernstein Bounds

*Instructor: Sham Kakade*

### 1 Hoeffding's Bound

We say  $X$  is a sub-Gaussian random variable if it has quadratically bounded logarithmic moment generating function, e.g.

$$\ln E e^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{2} b.$$

For a sub-Gaussian random variable, we have

$$P(\bar{X}_n \geq \mu + \epsilon) \leq e^{-n\epsilon^2/2b}.$$

Similarly,

$$P(\bar{X}_n \leq \mu - \epsilon) \leq e^{-n\epsilon^2/2b}.$$

### 2 Chernoff Bound

For a binary random variable, recall the KullbackLeibler divergence is

$$KL(p||q) = p \ln(p/q) + (1-p) \ln((1-p)/(1-q)).$$

**Theorem 2.1.** (Relative Entropy Chernoff Bound) Assume that  $X \in [0, 1]$  and  $EX = \mu$ . We have the following inequality

$$P(\bar{X}_n \geq \mu + \epsilon) \leq e^{-nKL(\mu+\epsilon||\mu)}$$

and

$$P(\bar{X}_n \leq \mu - \epsilon) \leq e^{-nKL(\mu-\epsilon||\mu)},$$

First, let us understand the worst case MGF for  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume that  $X \in [0, 1]$  and  $EX = \mu$ . We have the following inequality

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X} \leq (1-\mu)e^0 + \mu e^\lambda$$

This shows that the maximum logarithmic moment generating function is achieved with a  $\{0, 1\}$  valued random variable, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X} \leq \mathbb{E}_{X' \sim \mu} [e^{\lambda X'}]$$

where  $X'$  is a  $\{0, 1\}$  valued random variable which takes the value 1 with probability  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M_X(\lambda) = E e^{\lambda X}$  and  $M_{X'}(\lambda) = (1-\mu)e^0 + \mu e^\lambda$ . Then  $M_X(0) = M_{X'}(0)$ . Moreover,

$$M'_X(\lambda) = EX e^{\lambda X} \leq EX e^{\lambda * 1} = \mu e^\lambda = M'_{X'}(\lambda)$$

which completes the proof. □

Now we are ready to provide the proof.

*Proof.* By the previous lemma, we only need to prove the result for binary  $X \in \{0, 1\}$ , with mean 1. Recall from Lemma 1.4 in the previous lecture that,

$$I(\mu + \epsilon) = KL(P_{\mu+\epsilon}||P)$$

where  $P_{\mu+\epsilon}$  was the “variational” distribution  $P_\lambda$  where  $\lambda$  was set such that  $\mathbb{E}_{X \sim P_\lambda}[X] = \mu + \epsilon$ .

Since  $X$  is binary, it must be that  $P_{\mu+\epsilon}$  is just distribution which is 1 with probability  $\mu + \epsilon$ . Hence  $KL(P_{\mu+\epsilon}||P)$  is just the KL between two binary distributions with means  $\mu + \epsilon$  and  $\mu$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 2.1 Useful Forms of the Chernoff Bound

Note that by Hoeffding’s lemma (as  $X$  is sub-Gaussian), we have (from Lecture 5) that

$$-KL(\mu + \epsilon||\mu) = \inf_{\lambda > 0} [-\lambda(\mu + \epsilon) + \ln((1 - \mu)e^0 + \mu e^\lambda)] \leq 2\epsilon^2$$

Define  $Var_p$  be the variance of a  $X$  which is 1 with probability  $p$  and 0 with probability  $1 - p$ . It is straightforward to show that the second derivative with respect to  $\delta$  is:

$$KL''(\mu + \delta||\mu) = 1/Var_\delta$$

Define

$$\text{MaxVar}[\mu, \mu + \epsilon] = \max_{p \in [\mu, \mu + \epsilon]} Var_p$$

which provides a lower bound on the second derivative for  $\delta$  between 0 and  $\epsilon$ .

Hence, we have that:

$$KL(\mu + \epsilon||\mu) \geq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2/\text{MaxVar}[\mu, \mu + \epsilon]$$

which leads to a nicer version of the Chernoff bound.

**Theorem 2.3.** (*Nicer Form of the Chernoff Bound*) Assume that  $X \in [0, 1]$  and  $EX = \mu$ . Fix  $\epsilon$ . Define:

$$\text{MaxVar}[\mu, \mu + \epsilon] = \max_{p \in [\mu, \mu + \epsilon]} Var_p$$

as before (i.e. it is the maximal variance (of  $\{0, 1\}$  variable) between  $\mu$  and  $\mu + \epsilon$ ).

We have the following inequality

$$P(\bar{X}_n \geq \mu + \epsilon) \leq e^{-n \frac{\epsilon^2}{2 \text{MaxVar}[\mu, \mu + \epsilon]}}$$

and

$$P(\bar{X}_n \geq \mu - \epsilon) \leq e^{-n \frac{\epsilon^2}{2 \text{MaxVar}[\mu - \epsilon, \mu]}}$$

The following corollary (while always true) is much sharper bound than Hoeffding’s bound when  $\mu \approx 0$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** We have the following bound:

$$P(\bar{X}_n \geq \mu + \epsilon) \leq \exp[-n\epsilon^2/2(\mu + \epsilon)]$$

and thus

$$P(\bar{X}_n \leq \mu - \epsilon) \leq \exp[-n\epsilon^2/2\mu].$$

This implies a multiplicative form of the Chernoff bound since:

$$P(\bar{X}_n \geq (1 + \delta)\mu) \leq \exp[-n\mu \frac{\delta^2}{2(1 + \delta)}]$$

and

$$P(\bar{X}_n \leq (1 - \delta)\mu) \leq \exp[-n\mu\delta^2/2]$$

Similar results for Bernstein and Bennet inequalities are available.

### 3 Bennet Inequality

In Bennet inequality, we assume that the variable is upper bounded, and want to estimate its moment generating function using variance information.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $X - EX \leq 1$ , then  $\forall \lambda \geq 0$ :*

$$\ln Ee^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \leq (e^\lambda - \lambda - 1)Var(X).$$

where  $\mu = EX$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the lemma when  $\mu = 0$ . Using  $\ln z \leq z - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln Ee^{\lambda X} &= \ln Ee^{\lambda X} \\ &\leq Ee^{\lambda X} - 1 \\ &= \lambda^2 E \frac{e^{\lambda X} - \lambda X - 1}{(\lambda X)^2} (X)^2 \\ &\leq \lambda^2 E \frac{e^\lambda - \lambda - 1}{\lambda^2} (X)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from the fact that the function  $(e^z - z - 1)/z^2$  is non-decreasing and  $\lambda X \leq \lambda$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *We have*

$$\inf_{\lambda > 0} [-\lambda\epsilon + (e^\lambda - \lambda - 1)Var(X)] = -Var(X)\phi(\epsilon/Var(X)) \leq -\frac{\epsilon^2}{2(Var(X) + \epsilon/3)}.$$

where  $\phi(z) = (1 + z)\ln(1 + z) - z$ .

*Proof.* Take derivative with respect to  $\lambda$ , we obtain

$$-\epsilon + (e^\lambda - 1)Var(X) = 0.$$

Therefore  $\lambda = \ln(1 + \epsilon/Var(X))$ . Plug in, we obtain the equality.

It is easy to verify using Taylor expansion of the exponential function that for  $\lambda \in (0, 3)$ :

$$e^\lambda - \lambda - 1 \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\lambda/3)^m = \frac{\lambda^2}{2(1 - \lambda/3)}.$$

Now by picking  $\lambda = \epsilon/(Var(X) + \epsilon/3)$ , we have

$$-\lambda\epsilon + \frac{\lambda^2}{2(1 - \lambda/3)} = -\epsilon^2/[2Var(X) + 2\epsilon/3].$$

This proves the desired bound.  $\square$

The above bound implies the following bound: If  $X - EX \leq b$ , for some  $b > 0$ , then

$$P[X \geq EX + \epsilon] \leq \exp[-n\epsilon^2 / (2\text{Var}(X) + 2\epsilon b/3)].$$

This is similar to the Gaussian result, except for the term  $2\epsilon b/3$ . Behaves similar to Gaussian tail bound when  $\epsilon b \ll \text{Var}(X)$ .

## 4 Bernstein Inequality

In Bernstein inequality, we obtain a result similar to the simplified Bennet bound but with a moment condition. There are different forms. We consider one form.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $X$  satisfies the moment condition with  $b > 0$  for integers  $m \geq 2$ :*

$$EX^m \leq m!b^{m-2}V/2,$$

then when  $\lambda \in (0, 1/b)$ :

$$\ln Ee^{\lambda X} \leq \lambda EX + 0.5\lambda^2 V(1 - \lambda b)^{-1},$$

and thus

$$P[\bar{X}_n \geq EX + \epsilon] \leq \exp[-n\epsilon^2 / (2V + 2\epsilon b)].$$

*Proof.* We have the following estimation of logarithmic moment generating function:

$$\ln Ee^{\lambda X} \leq Ee^{\lambda X} - 1 \leq \lambda EX + 0.5V\lambda^2 \sum_{m=2} b^{m-2}\lambda^{m-2} = \lambda EX + 0.5\lambda^2 V(1 - \lambda b)^{-1}.$$

The last inequality is similar to the proof of Bennet inequality. Exercise: finish the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Independent but non-iid random variables

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent but not iid. Let  $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $\mu = E\bar{X}_n$ , then we have

$$P(\bar{X}_n \geq \mu + \epsilon) \leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} [-\lambda n(\mu + \epsilon) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln Ee^{\lambda X_i}].$$

In particular, we have the following results:

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $X_i$  are sub-Gaussians with  $Ee^{\lambda X_i} \leq \lambda EX_i + 0.5\lambda^2 V_i$ , then*

$$P(\bar{X}_n \geq \mu + \epsilon) \leq \exp \left[ -\frac{n^2 \epsilon^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n V_i} \right].$$

An example is Radamecher average: let  $\sigma_i = \{\pm 1\}$  be independent random Bernoulli variables, and  $a_i$  be fixed numbers, then

$$P(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i a_i \geq \epsilon) \leq \exp \left[ -\frac{n\epsilon^2}{2n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2} \right].$$

Similarly one can derive bounds for Bennet and Bernstein inequalities.

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $X_i - EX_i \leq b$  for all  $i$ , then*

$$P(\bar{X}_n \geq \mu + \epsilon) \leq \exp \left[ -\frac{n^2 \epsilon^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) + 2nb\epsilon/3} \right].$$

## 6 Alternative Expression

Tail inequality:  $P(\text{deviation} \geq \epsilon) \leq \delta(\epsilon)$ . Equivalent expression: with probability  $1 - \delta$ :  $\text{deviation} \leq \epsilon(\delta)$ , where  $\epsilon(\delta)$  is the inverse function of  $\delta(\epsilon)$ .

For example the Chernoff bound

$$P(\bar{X}_n - \mu \geq \epsilon) \leq \exp(-2n\epsilon^2) = \delta,$$

means with probability  $1 - \delta$ :  $\bar{X}_n - EX \leq \sqrt{\ln(1/\delta)/(2n)}$ .

For Bennet inequality,

$$P[\bar{X}_n \geq EX + \epsilon] \leq \exp[-n\epsilon^2/(2\text{Var}(X) + 2\epsilon b/3)],$$

we set

$$\delta = \exp[-n\epsilon^2/(2\text{Var}(X) + 2\epsilon b/3)],$$

and thus using  $\sqrt{x+y} \leq \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}$ :

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{2\text{Var}(X) \ln(1/\delta)/n + b^2 \ln(1/\delta)^2/(9n^2)} + \frac{b \ln(1/\delta)}{3n} \leq \sqrt{2\text{Var}(X) \ln(1/\delta)/n} + \frac{2b \ln(1/\delta)}{3n}$$

That is, with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , we have

$$\bar{X}_n - EX \leq \sqrt{2\text{Var}(X) \ln(1/\delta)/n} + \frac{2b \ln(1/\delta)}{3n}.$$