

Statistics 434: Bullet Points for Day 10

Bet Sizing and Long-term Wealth Growth Rates

Today we take a little side tour from our investigation of the ARIMA(p,d,q) model to look at what the general question “Facing the possibility of a favorable bet, what fraction of one’s wealth should one bet?” This question leads us to the notion of the long-term growth rate of wealth and the to the controversial Kelly criterion.

- Comments on HW3 being returned today
- Class Discussion of HW4 that is due today
- Review of the Law of Large Numbers (Strong and Weak)
- The Kelly Principle
 1. Relationship of the LLN to the long-term growth rate of wealth
 2. The Kelly Criterion for Bet Sizing
 3. A Theorem on Long-Term Wealth Growth Rate
 4. A Concrete Example: Kelly for a biased coin (exact)
 5. A Gambler’s Example
 6. The General Approximation to the Kelly bet size
 7. A “Fermi Calculation” for financial assets
 8. (Optional) Kelly for Pairs of Bets
- Theoretical Controversies and Practical Mysteries
 1. Two Objections in Theory (Consumption and Utility)
 2. Two Objections in Practice
 - (a) Estimation Errors
 - (b) Model Misspecification Errors
 3. Opportunities for Many Useful Variations
- Relationship to the St. Petersburg Paradox

QUOTE OF THE DAY

Andre Malraux once observed, “Often the difference between a successful person and a failure is not one has better abilities or ideas, but the courage that one has to bet on one’s ideas, to take a calculated risk — and to act.”

No one ever accused Malraux of a lack of courage. From a comfortable bourgeois perspective, he was terrifyingly reckless. Moreover, according to one biographer, Olivier Todd, Malraux suffered later in life from a genuinely medical case of Tourette’s syndrome.