

# A Note on a Decreasing Property of $t$ Confidence Intervals

Weijie Su                  Yuancheng Zhu

Department of Statistics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

March 3, 2018

## Abstract

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  random variables, where both  $\theta$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown. A  $t$ -based confidence interval for  $\theta$  can be constructed as follows. Partition  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  into  $m$  equal-sized groups (assuming  $n$  is a multiple of  $m$ ), and denote the average of each group by  $\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_m$ , which are iid  $\mathcal{N}(\theta, m\sigma^2/n)$ . Using these  $m$  random variables, the  $t$  confidence interval at level  $1 - \alpha$  for  $\theta$  takes the form

$$\left[ \bar{X} - t_{m-1, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{S_m}{\sqrt{m}}, \quad \bar{X} + t_{m-1, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{S_m}{\sqrt{m}} \right],$$

where

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{X}_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \text{ and } S_m^2 = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

(Note that the case of  $m = n$ , this reduces to the conventional  $t$  confidence interval.) The expected length of the confidence interval is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ 2t_{m-1, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{S_m}{\sqrt{m}} \right] &= 2t_{m-1, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{m\sigma^2/n} \mathbb{E} \chi_{m-1}/\sqrt{m-1}}{\sqrt{m}} \\ &= \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{t_{m-1, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2})}{\sqrt{m-1} \Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

This note aims to prove that, with fixed  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the expected length above is decreasing in  $m$ .

## 1 Theorem Statement

**Theorem 1.** *For any fixed  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the expression*

$$\frac{t_{m-1, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{m}{2})}{\sqrt{m-1} \Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2})}$$

*as a function of  $m$  is decreasing in  $m \geq 2$ .*

## 2 Proof

This theorem amounts to showing that

$$\frac{t_{m-1,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{m-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)} > \frac{t_{m,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{m} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}$$

for every  $m \geq 2$ . More generally, we aim to show in this appendix that

$$\frac{t_{d_1,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{d_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1}{2}\right)} > \frac{t_{d_2,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{d_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2}{2}\right)}$$

for all  $d_2 > d_1 \geq 1$ . This inequality can be rewritten as

$$\frac{t_{d_1,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{t_{d_2,1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} > \frac{\sqrt{d_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{d_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1+1}{2}\right)}. \quad (2.1)$$

To establish (2.1) is the subject of the lemma below.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $1 \leq d_1 < d_2$  be two integers. Then, (2.1) holds for all  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* Suppose on the contrary that

$$\frac{t_{d_1,1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}}{t_{d_2,1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{d_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{d_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1+1}{2}\right)} \quad (2.2)$$

for some  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ . Denote by  $\lambda = \frac{t_{d_1,1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}}{t_{d_2,1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}}$ . Note that  $\lambda$  must be larger than 1 since  $d_2 > d_1$ .

Write  $H_\lambda(x)$  for the difference between  $\mathbb{P}(t_{d_1} \leq x)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(t_{d_2} \leq x/\lambda)$  for  $x > 0$ . In particular, this function satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} H_\lambda(t_{d_1,1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}) &= \mathbb{P}(t_{d_1} \leq t_{d_1,1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}) - \mathbb{P}(t_{d_2} \leq t_{d_1,1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}/\lambda) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(t_{d_1} \leq t_{d_1,1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}) - \mathbb{P}(t_{d_2} \leq t_{d_2,1-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}) \\ &= 1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{2} - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

In general, denoting the density of  $t_d$  by  $p_d$ ,  $H_\lambda(x)$  takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} H_\lambda(x) &= \mathbb{P}(t_{d_1} \leq x) - \mathbb{P}(t_{d_2} \leq x/\lambda) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^x p_{d_1}(u) du - \int_{-\infty}^{x/\lambda} p_{d_2}(u) du \\ &= \int_0^x p_{d_1}(u) du - \int_0^{x/\lambda} p_{d_2}(u) du \\ &= \int_0^x p_{d_1}(u) - p_{d_2}(u/\lambda) / \lambda du. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $h_\lambda(u)$  be the integrand  $p_{d_1}(u) - p_{d_2}(u/\lambda)/\lambda$ . If one can show that

$$H_\lambda(x) = \int_0^x h_\lambda(u) du < 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $x > 0$  given

$$\lambda \leq \frac{\sqrt{d_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{d_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1+1}{2}\right)}$$

that follows from the assumption (2.2), we get a contradiction to (2.3). Consequently, (2.2) cannot be satisfied.

Below, Lemma 2.2 affirms (2.4) as the last step to prove the present lemma, thus, concluding the proof of Theorem 1. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *If*

$$1 < \lambda \leq \frac{\sqrt{d_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{d_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1+1}{2}\right)},$$

then  $H_\lambda(x) < 0$  for all  $x > 0$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* Note that  $H_\lambda(x)$  results from integrating  $h_\lambda(x)$ . The sign of  $h_\lambda(x)$  depends on whether the ratio

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d_1+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi d_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1}{2}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{d_1}\right)^{-\frac{d_1+1}{2}}}{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d_2+1}{2}\right)}{\lambda \sqrt{\pi d_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2}{2}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\lambda^2 d_2}\right)^{-\frac{d_2+1}{2}}} &= \frac{\lambda \sqrt{d_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{d_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_2+1}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\lambda^2 d_2}\right)^{\frac{d_2+1}{2}}}{\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{d_1}\right)^{\frac{d_1+1}{2}}} \\ &\equiv C_\lambda \frac{\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\lambda^2 d_2}\right)^{\frac{d_2+1}{2}}}{\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{d_1}\right)^{\frac{d_1+1}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

exceeds 1 or not. Above, we use the fact that the density of the  $t$  distribution with  $d$  degrees of freedom reads

$$p_d(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi d} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{d}\right)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}$$

for  $x > 0$ . Denote by this ratio  $r_\lambda(x)$ . It is clear that  $r_\lambda(0) = C_\lambda \leq 1$ , implying that  $h_\lambda(0) \leq 0$ . In addition, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , the ratio  $r_\lambda(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as well, and this reveals that  $h_\lambda(x) > 0$  for sufficiently large  $x$ .

To get a closer look, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \log r_\lambda(x)}{dx} &= \frac{d_2 + 1}{2} \frac{2x}{\lambda^2 d_2 + x^2} - \frac{d_1 + 1}{2} \frac{2x}{d_1 + x^2} \\ &= \frac{(d_2 - d_1)x^3 - [(\lambda^2 - 1)d_1 d_2 + \lambda^2 d_2 - d_1]x}{(\lambda^2 d_2 + x^2)(d_1 + x^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that  $\lambda > 1$  and  $d_2 > d_1$  ensures that  $(\lambda^2 - 1)d_1d_2 + \lambda^2d_2 - d_1 > 0$ . Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \log r_\lambda(x)}{dx} &< 0, \text{ if } 0 < x < \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda^2 - 1)d_1d_2 + \lambda^2d_2 - d_1}{d_2 - d_1}} \\ \frac{d \log r_\lambda(x)}{dx} &> 0, \text{ if } x > \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda^2 - 1)d_1d_2 + \lambda^2d_2 - d_1}{d_2 - d_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $r_\lambda(x)$ , starting from  $r_\lambda(0) \leq 1$ , stays below 1 for  $0 < x < x_0$  and then stays above 1 for  $x_0 < x < \infty$ , where  $x_0 > 0$  is some number determined by  $d_1, d_2$  and  $\lambda$ . Put differently, the above discussion demonstrates that

$$\begin{aligned} h_\lambda(x) &< 0, \text{ for } 0 < x < x_0 \\ h_\lambda(x) &> 0, \text{ for } x_0 < x < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Having established (2.5), it is a stone's throw away to prove the lemma. If  $x < x_0$ , then (2.5) readily gives

$$H_\lambda(x) = \int_0^x h_\lambda(u)du < 0.$$

In the case where  $x > x_0$ , (2.5) together with the fact that  $H_\lambda(\infty) = 0$  gives

$$H_\lambda(x) = \int_0^x h_\lambda(u)du = \int_0^\infty h_\lambda(u)du - \int_x^\infty h_\lambda(u)du = - \int_x^\infty h_\lambda(u)du < 0,$$

as desired.

□